Bill No21-14
Concerning: Streets and Roads -
Sidewalk Snow Removal Plan
Revised: October 21, 2014 Draft No. 3
Introduced: April 22, 2014
Enacted: October 21, 2014
Executive: Returned unsigned
Effective: February 3, 2015
Sunset Date: None
Ch. 33_ , Laws of Mont. Co. 2014

# COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Councilmembers Riemer and Navarro

### AN ACT to:

(1) require the Executive to develop a Sidewalk Snow Removal Plan; and

(2) generally amend the law concerning the removal of snow and ice from sidewalks and pedestrian crossings in the County.

## By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 49, Streets and Roads Section 49-17

Boldface	Heading or defined term.
<u>Underlining</u>	Added to existing law by original bill.
[Single boldface brackets]	Deleted from existing law by original bill.
Double underlining	Added by amendment.
[[Double boldface brackets]]	Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.
* *, *	Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

#### Sec. 1. Section 49-17 is amended as follows: 1 49-17. Accumulation of snow and ice on property prohibited. 2 A person is responsible for removing snow and ice on any (a) (1) 3 sidewalk, other walkway, shared use path, or parking area on or 4 adjacent to property that the person owns, leases, or manages, 5 including any walkway in the public right-of- way, to provide a 6 pathway wide enough for safe pedestrian and wheelchair use. 7 For purposes of this Section, commonly owned property between 8 a single-family residential lot and a common walkway is 9 considered part of the lot if the intervening common property 10 includes a walkway or driveway that serves only that lot. 11 Except as provided in paragraph (4), each owner, tenant, or **(2)** 12 manager is jointly and severally responsible for clearing snow 13 and ice from the property and complying with Section 31-26A(d). 14 The requirements of this Section do not apply to: (3) 15 an unpaved walkway; (A) 16 a private walkway or parking area on the property of a (B) 17 single-family residence; 18 a public walkway behind a single-family residence that is (C) 19 not directly accessible from the owner's property; or 20 a walkway that: (D) 21 is at least 25 feet from vehicular traffic; (i) 22 serves only pedestrian destinations that are also (ii) 23 accessible by another walkway that this Section 24 requires to be cleared; 25 was not routinely cleared of snow and ice after (iii) 26 August 1999; and 27

28		(iv) is not the primary route for pedestrian access to a
29		winter recreational facility open to the public.
30		(4) (A) An individual who lives in a multi-family residential
31		property is not responsible for removing snow and ice
32		from a common walkway or parking area.
33		(B) A homeowners' association, as that term is used in State
34		law, is not responsible for removing snow and ice from a
35		walkway adjacent to a single-family residential lot, if the
36		lot owner is responsible under paragraph (1) for removing
37		snow and ice from that walkway.
38	(b)	If ice or hardpacked snow is impossible or unreasonably difficult to
39		remove, the person is responsible for applying sufficient sand, other
40.		abrasives, or salt to provide safe pedestrian use.
41	(c)	The person is responsible for removing snow and ice within 24 hours
42		after the end of the precipitation that caused the condition. If a
43		snowplow redeposits snow or ice on a sidewalk or other walkway after
44		a person has complied with this Section, the person is not responsible
45		for clearing the walkway until 24 hours after the snowplow redeposited
46		the snow or ice.
47	(d)	The County Executive must designate a department to enforce this
48		Section and may designate other County employees or contractors to
49		enforce this Section.
50	(e)	The Executive may order a different deadline or conditions for
51		removing snow and ice during or immediately after a severe or unusual
52		storm or other public-safety condition.
53	(f)	In addition to any other remedy or penalty for a violation of this
54		Section, the County may clear the snow and ice and charge the

33		respo	nsible property owner for the cost, which the County may collect		
56		in the	same manner as property taxes.		
57	(g)	A violation of this Section is a class C violation. A person authorized to			
58		enfor	ce this Section must not issue a citation for a violation unless the		
59		violat	ion still exists 24 hours after a notice of violation. An authorized		
60		enfor	cement officer may issue the notice of violation to any person		
61		respo	nsible under subsection (a) for clearing the snow or ice, or post the		
62		notice	notice in a conspicuous place on the property where the violation exists.		
63		Each day a violation continues to exist is a separate violation, except for			
64		a violation on or adjacent to a single-family residential property.			
65	<u>(h)</u>	Sidewalk Snow Removal Plan. The Executive must develop, update,			
66		and publish on the County internet site a sidewalk snow removal plan			
67		allocating available resources in a fair and equitable manner throughout			
68		the County that includes a:			
69		<u>(1)</u>	digital map of the County that shows who is responsible for		
70			clearing snow and ice on each sidewalk in the County;		
71		<u>(2)</u>	"major storm event" communications plan that addresses notice		
72			to County residents of a major storm event and the sidewalk		
73			snow and ice removal requirements in this Section;		
74		<u>(3)</u>	targeted public education campaign about sidewalk snow and ice		
75			removal for owners of property in the County;		
76		<u>(4)</u>	designation of pedestrian priority routes for targeted education		
77			and increased snow and ice removal enforcement;		
78		<u>(5)</u>	public education campaign about how to request enforcement of		
79			this Section;		
80		<u>(6)</u>	plan to provide extended hours for County personnel who receive		
81			snow and ice removal complaints during a major storm event;		

82	<u>(7)</u>	<u>plan</u>	for removal of snow and ice on publicly owned property:
83		<u>(A)</u>	at bus-stops and Metro stations;
84		<u>(B)</u>	near schools;
85		<u>(C)</u>	along State highways;
86		<u>(D)</u>	along the highest priority pedestrian routes;
87		<u>(E)</u>	in urban districts; and
88		<u>(F)</u>	used for hiker-biker trails; and
89	(8)	plan	for trash removal during a major storm event.
90	Approved:		
	<b>~</b>		
91	larg	V	( Exce) (0/22/14
	Craig L. Rice, Presid	lent, Co	unty Council Date
92	Approved:		
02			
93	Returned		
	Isiah Leggett, Count		
94	This is a correct cop	y of Coi	ncil action.
0.5	.0 - 0-		
95	Jenda M	, Ja	mer "/7/14
	Linda M. Lauer, Cle	rk of the	e Council Date



# OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850

Isiah Leggett
County Executive

#### **MEMORANDUM**

November 3, 2014

TO:

Craig Rice, President

County Council

FROM:

Isiah Leggett, County Executive

RE:

Bill 21-14 Streets and Roads - Sidewalk Snow Removal Plan

I have on my desk Bill 21-14, Streets and Roads - Sidewalk Snow Removal Plan. I am returning the Bill unsigned with the following comments and concerns for the Council's consideration.

First, let me make it clear that I support the goal of keeping sidewalks passable at all times. As you know, when I served on the County Council, I voted for the existing snow removal law, and I continue to support responsible and reasonable efforts to remove snow from sidewalks, regardless of ownership.

Since I took office, my goal has been to transform our County into a walkable community and one that fully complies with the law providing access for persons with disabilities. I proposed and have implemented the County's first comprehensive pedestrian safety plan. However, I believe that Bill 21-14, as written, raises unrealistic expectations about what the County can accomplish and has potential unintended adverse consequences.

# My concerns are:

- 1. The bill requires development of a "plan" for snow removal on sidewalks that are not otherwise covered under current law. However, development of such a plan creates an expectation that it will be immediately and fully implemented.
- 2. Development of the plan alone will require significant resources that have yet to be allocated by the Council approximately \$500,000.
- 3. We have estimated that the average annual cost to implement the additional snow removal called for under Bill 21-14 would be \$6 million, taking away funds from other worthwhile programs. Last year, this would have cost the County an

Craig Rice, Council President November 3, 2014 Page 2

estimated \$10 million. I believe its implementation should be made expressly contingent on annual funding approval by the Council, and plan to submit an amendment to address this.

- 4. I am also concerned about the discussions of only providing snow removal on sidewalks in delineated priority areas that may leave other areas unserved.
- 5. I am very concerned that this bill will have the unintended consequence of opening the County to increased liability.

In preparation for the upcoming winter, I have directed the County's Office of Public Information to bolster the public education effort about the requirement to clear sidewalks, regardless of how we proceed on Bill 21-14.

As I have said, my Administration can develop a plan. However, I agree with Councilmember Leventhal that what is encompassed in Bill 21-14 could have been accomplished without passage of legislation that creates unrealistic goals and expectations.