

Committee GO
Staff: Ludeen McCartney-Green, Legislative Attorney

Purpose: To introduce agenda item – no vote expected

Keywords: n/a

AGENDA ITEM #13B July 20, 2021 Introduction

1

SUBJECT

Bill 32-21, Personnel – Employee Settlement Agreements with No-Rehire Clause – Prohibited Lead Sponsor: Council President Hucker

EXPECTED ATTENDEES

None

COUNCIL DECISION POINTS & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

• To introduce Bill – no vote expected

DESCRIPTION/ISSUE

Bill 32-21 would:

- prohibit county employee settlement agreements to include a "no-rehire" clause;
- provide the Chief Administrative Officer or agency head the authority to include certain clause;
- establish a right to appeal; and
- generally amend the County law governing personnel and human resources.

SUMMARY OF KEY DISCUSSION POINTS

N/A; introduction

This report contains:

Staff Report	Page 1
Bill 32-21	©1
Legislative Request Report	©3
Sponsor Memorandum	©4

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may <u>submit alternative format requests</u> to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at <u>adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov</u>

MEMORANDUM

July 16, 2021

TO: County Council

FROM: Ludeen McCartney-Green, Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT: Bill 32-21, Personnel – Employee Settlement Agreements with No-Rehire Clause -

Prohibited

PURPOSE: Introduction – no Council vote required

Bill 32-21, Personnel – Employee Settlement Agreements with No-Rehire Clause - Prohibited, sponsored by Lead Sponsor Council President Hucker, is scheduled to be introduced on July 20, 2021. A public hearing is tentatively scheduled for September 14, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.¹

Bill 32-21 would:

- prohibit county employee settlement agreements to include a "no-rehire" clause;
- provide the Chief Administrative Officer or agency head the authority to include certain clause;
- establish a right to appeal; and
- generally amend the County law governing personnel and human resources.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the bill is to prohibit "no-rehire" clause from County employee settlement agreements. When an employee files an employment dispute or claim against the County, and a settlement agreement is proffered, it contains a no-rehire clause that will prevent the employee from seeking future employment opportunities with the County. This automatic bar places an undue burden on County employees who may have gained several years of knowledge, skill, and ability with no viable option to return to County employment, even after, the dispute has been settled.

BILL SPECIFICS

Generally, the bill would ban the County from including a "no-rehire" clause in an employee settlement agreement. However, it is important to note the bill does provide an exemption to include a "no rehire" clause, if: (1) the County and the employee mutually agree or (2) if the employee by the Chief Administrative Offer or agency head was terminated "for cause." In addition, the bill provides the option for an aggrieved employee to file an appeal with the

County's Merit System Protection Board, if a decision was made to include the "no-rehire" clause in the settlement agreement.

This packet contains:	<u>Circle #</u>
Bill 32-21	1
Legislative Request Report	3
Sponsor Memorandum	4

BIII No.	32-21			
Concerning: Per	rsonnel	_	Emplo	yee
Settlement	Agreeme	nts	with	No-
Rehire Claus	se – Prohil	oited		
Revised: 7/12/2	21	Dra	ft No.	2
Introduced: J	uly 20, 20	21		
Expires:J	anuary 20	, 202	23	
Enacted:				
Executive:				
Effective:				
Sunset Date: N	lone			
Ch. , Laws	of Mont.	Co.		

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Council President Hucker

AN ACT to:

- (1) prohibit county employee settlement agreements to include a "no-rehire" clause;
- (2) provide the Chief Administrative Officer or agency head the authority to include certain clause;
- (3) establish a right to appeal; and
- (4) generally amend the County law governing personnel and human resources.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 33, Personnel and Human Resources Section 33-22

Boldface Heading or defined term.

<u>Underlining</u>
Added to existing law by original bill.
[Single boldface brackets]
Deleted from existing law by original bill.

<u>Double underlining</u>

Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

* * Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec. 1	1. Section 33-22 is added as follows:
2	33-22. [Res	erved] County Employee Settlement Agreements; right to appeal.
3	(a)	Definitions. For purposes of this Section, the following terms have the
4		meanings indicated:
5		Employment dispute means any grievance, claim or lawsuit filed
6		against the County.
7		No-rehire clause: a provision prohibiting, preventing, or otherwise
8		restricting an employee from obtaining future employment.
9	(b)	An agreement to settle an employment dispute must not contain a no-
10		rehire clause from County employment.
11	(c)	Except as provided in subsection (b), a settlement agreement may
12		include a no-rehire clause if:
13		(1) the provision is mutually agreed upon to end the current
14		employment relationship; or
15		(2) the Chief Administrative Officer or agency head has made a
16		finding that there are sufficient grounds to terminate the
17		employment for cause.
18	(d)	If an employee disagrees with the decision to include a no-rehire clause
19		within ten days from the receipt of the decision, the aggrieved employee
20		may in writing, appeal to the County's Merit System Protection Board
21	Sec. 2	2. Transition.
22	This	Act does not apply to any County employee settlement agreement that
23	was execute	ed by all parties before this Act took effect.

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Bill 32-21

Personnel – Employee Settlement Agreements with No-Rehire Clause - Prohibited

DESCRIPTION: Bill 32-21 would prohibit the County from including a no-rehire clause in

an employee settlement agreement unless certain circumstances apply. It also provides the County employee the right to appeal if a no-rehire clause

is included in a settlement agreement.

PROBLEM: County employee settlement agreements contain a "no-rehire" clause that

prohibits an employee from reemployment with the County. The restriction eliminates the opportunity for an employee to apply and work for the

County indefinitely.

GOALS AND

To prohibit "no rehire" clause in County employee settlement agreements.

OBJECTIVES:

COORDINATION: Office of Human Resources, County Attorney

FISCAL IMPACT: To be provided

ECONOMIC

To be provided

IMPACT:

EVALUATION: To be provided

EXPERIENCE

California and Vermont

ELSEWHERE:

SOURCE OF

Ludeen McCartney-Green, Legislative Attorney

INFORMATION:

APPLICATION N/A

WITHIN

MUNICIPALITIES:

PENALTIES: N/A

 $\verb|\Mcg-C058.Mcgov.Org| Central_Staff LAW | BILLS | 21xx Personnel - Settlement Agreements With No-Rehire Clause - Prohibited | LRR.Docx Personnel - Settlement Agreements | Prohibited | LRR.Docx Personnel - Settlement | Prohibited | Prohi$



MEMORANDUM

To: Montgomery County Council

From: Council President Tom Hucker

Date: July 15, 2021

Re: Bill 32-21 Employee Settlement Agreement with No-Rehire Clause – Prohibited

Bill 32-21 is a straightforward bill that seeks to limit the use of "no-rehire" clauses in employment dispute agreements. The employment disputes may include grievances, claims or lawsuits filed against the County. The bill will permit a "no-rehire" clause if the Chief Administrative Officer or agency head decide it is necessary or both parties agree to it. However, if the employee disagrees with the use of a "no-rehire" clause, they may appeal it to the County's Merit System Protection Board.

The County should not make it a standard practice to include "no-rehire" clauses in employment disputes. Unless the dispute escalates to a serious incident, individuals should have the opportunity to compete again for a job with the County. Furthermore, a "no-rehire" clause is essentially a lifetime ban and making them a standard practice can rob former employees from contributing to the County in the future.

In conclusion, this is a small change that will have an important impact. We are a County that believes in second chances, and we can uphold that value through this bill. I greatly appreciate your consideration of this legislation and look forward to discussing it further.