

Montgomery County Council Committee: PS Committee Review: At a future date Staff: Amanda Mihill, Legislative Attorney Purpose: To receive testimony – no vote expected Keywords: #TransparentAndAccountablePolicing

AGENDA ITEM #8 January 21, 2020 **Public Hearing**

SUBJECT

Bill 33-19, Police – Community Policing Lead Sponsors: then-Council President Navarro and Councilmember Rice Co-Sponsors: Council Vice President Hucker, Councilmembers Albornoz, Jawando, Friedson, Glass, Council President Katz and Councilmember Riemer

EXPECTED ATTENDEES

None

COUNCIL DECISION POINTS & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Public Hearing – no vote expected

DESCRIPTION/ISSUE

Bill 33-19 would set certain community policing guidelines, require the Montgomery County Police Department to make certain reports and make certain legislative findings.

SUMMARY OF KEY DISCUSSION POINTS

None

This report contains:

Staff Report	Page 1
Bill 33-19	©1
Legislative Request Report	©5
Sponsor memorandum	©6
Fiscal and Economic Impact statement	©7
	•.

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may submit alternative format requests to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov

F:\LAW\BILLS\1933 Police - Community Policing\PH Cover Sheet.Docx

MEMORANDUM

January 16, 2020

TO: County Council

FROM: Amanda Mihill, Legislative Attorney Manu

SUBJECT: Bill 33-19, Police – Community Policing

PURPOSE: Public Hearing - no Council vote required

Bill 33-19, Police – Community Policing, sponsored by Lead Sponsors then-Council President Navarro and Councilmember Rice and Co-Sponsors Council Vice President Hucker, Councilmembers Albornoz, Jawando, Friedson, Glass, Council President Katz and Councilmember Riemer, was introduced on October 15. A Public Safety Committee worksession is tentatively scheduled for February 13, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Bill 33-19 would set certain community policing guidelines, require the Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD) to make certain reports and make certain legislative findings. A memorandum from the Lead Sponsor is attached on $\mathbb{C}6$.¹

In an e-mail to the Council President's office, a representative of the Fraternal Order of Police was supportive of providing community policing guidelines to MCPD, but was not supportive of the reporting requirements.

This packet contains:	Circle #
Bill 33-19	
Legislative Request Report	5
Sponsor memorandum	6
Fiscal and Economic Impact statement	7

F:\LAW\BILLS\1933 Police - Community Policing\PH Memo.Docx

¹#TransparentAndAccountablePolicing

Bill No.		
Concerning:	Police - Community Police	olicina
Revised: 9/2.	3/2019 Draft No.	3
Introduced:	October 15, 2019	
Expires:	April 15, 2021	
Enacted:		
Executive:		
Effective:		
Sunset Date:	None	
Ch, La	aws of Mont. Co.	

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsors: Council President Navarro and Councilmember Rice Co-Sponsor: Councilmembers Hucker, Albornoz, and Jawando

AN ACT to:

- (1) set certain community policing guidelines;
- (2) require the Montgomery County Police Department to make certain reports;
- (3) make certain legislative findings; and
- (4) generally amend the law governing policing.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 35, Police Section 35-6

Boldface <u>Underlining</u> [Single boldface brackets] <u>Double underlining</u> [[Double boldface brackets]]	Heading or defined term. Added to existing law by original bill. Deleted from existing law by original bill. Added by amendment. Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment. Existing law unaffected by bill.
---	--

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1		Sec.	1. Se	ction 3	35-6 is amended as follows:
2	35-6.	[Res	served] <u>Com</u>	<u>munity</u> Policing.
3		<u>(a)</u>	Leg	<u>islative</u>	<u>e findings.</u>
4			<u>(1)</u>	<u>The</u>	United States Department of Justice advises that:
5				<u>(A)</u>	Strong relationships of mutual trust between police agencies
6					and the communities they serve are critical to maintaining
7					public safety and effective policing.
8				<u>(B)</u>	Police officials rely on the cooperation of community
9					members to provide information about crime in their
10					neighborhoods, and to work with the police to devise
11					solutions to crime and disorder problems.
12				<u>(C)</u>	Community members' willingness to trust the police
13					depends on whether they believe that police actions reflect
14					community values and incorporate the principles of
15					procedural justice and legitimacy.
16			<u>(2)</u>	<u>The</u>	Police Executive Research Forum hosted a national meeting
17				<u>of po</u>	lice and community leaders. The following key issues and
18				recor	nmendations were determined to be useful to help police
19				<u>depai</u>	tments and their communities to develop collaborative
20				strate	gies for moving forward. Police departments should:
21				<u>(A)</u>	acknowledge and discuss with communities the challenges
22					local police departments face;
23				<u>(B)</u>	be transparent and accountable;
24				<u>(C)</u>	take steps to reduce bias and improve cultural competency;
25				<u>(D)</u>	maintain focus on the importance of collaboration and be
26					visible in the community; and

27			<u>(E)</u>	promote internal diversity and ensure professional growth
28				opportunities.
29	<u>(b)</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>ımunity</u>	policing guidelines. To further community policing
30		<u>obje</u>	<u>ctives:</u>	
31		(1)	offic	ers must strive to regularly initiate and engage in positive
32			none	nforcement activities with their communities;
33		<u>(2)</u>	<u>the</u> I	Department must ensure cultural competency throughout the
34			Depa	rtment;
35		<u>(3)</u>	<u>the D</u>	epartment must emphasize the recruitment of candidates with
36			<u>ties t</u>	o the County;
37		<u>(4)</u>	<u>the D</u>	epartment must increase community outreach initiatives and
38			office	ers must attend community events on behalf of the
39			Depa	rtment;
40		<u>(5)</u>	<u>the</u> <u></u>	Department must provide adequate training in de-escalation
41			<u>tactic</u>	s; and
42		<u>(6)</u>	<u>the</u> <u>D</u>	epartment must maintain and expand the School Resource
43			<u>Offic</u>	er Program, in recognition of its value to the community.
44	<u>(c)</u>	<u>Repo</u>	rting <u>re</u>	equirements.
45		<u>(1)</u>	<u>By Fe</u>	ebruary 1 each year, the Montgomery County Department of
46			Police	e must report the following information to the Executive and
47			Coun	cil for the prior calendar year:
48			<u>(A)</u>	the total number of sworn police officers in the Department;
49			<u>(B)</u>	the total number of sworn racial minority police officers in
50				the Department by race and ethnicity;
51			<u>(C)</u>	the total number of sworn female police officers in the
52				Department;

53	<u>(D)</u>	the number of sworn police officers in the Department that
54		reside in the County;
55	<u>(E)</u>	the number of recruiting events the Department sponsored
56		or participated in the County;
57	<u>(F)</u>	the number of instances of use of force that resulted in the
58		transport of a civilian to a hospital by an emergency vehicle,
59		when the injury occurred as a direct result of an officer's
60		actions;
61	<u>(G)</u>	the number of civilian complaints about the use of force by
62		an officer;
63	<u>(H)</u>	the number of officers who were suspended with pay;
64	<u>(I)</u>	the number of officers who were suspended without pay;
65	<u>(J)</u>	the percentage of patrol officers who were assigned to
66		neighborhood patrols;
67	<u>(K)</u>	the number of youth under the age of 18 years referred to
68		intervention programs by officers; and
69	<u>(L)</u>	a description of the Department's community policing
70		efforts, including community policing programs,
71		participation in town hall meetings, and efforts to engage
72		with schools, recreation centers, community centers, and
73		senior centers.
74		

••

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Bill 33-19 Police – Community Policing

DESCRIPTION:	Bill 33-19 would set certain community policing guidelines; require the Montgomery County Police Department to make certain reports; and make certain legislative findings.
PROBLEM:	Community policing is an important policing tool, but there are currently no measures in County law providing guidance on community policing.
GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:	To provide MCPD with guidance regarding community policing.
COORDINATION:	MCPD
FISCAL IMPACT:	To be requested.
ECONOMIC IMPACT:	To be requested.
EVALUATION:	To be researched.
EXPERIENCE ELSEWHERE:	To be researched.
SOURCE OF INFORMATION:	Amanda Mihill, Legislative Attorney (240) 777-7815
APPLICATION WITHIN MUNICIPALITIES:	N/A
PENALTIES:	Not applicable.

5



MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

COUNCIL PRESIDENT NANCY NAVARRO DISTRICT 4

CHAIR, GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AND FISCAL POLICY COMMITTEE

EDUCATION AND CULTURE COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

Tuesday October 1, 2019

TO:	Councilmembers
FROM:	Nancy Navarro, President, County Council
SUBJECT:	Community Policing Bill- Introduction

I, alongside Councilmember Craig Rice, am transmitting for introduction a new Community Policing Bill. The bill provides broad guidelines which the Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD) can employ to promote core community policing values among officers, such as striving to ensure cultural competency throughout the Department, engaging in positive non-enforcement activities with members of the community, and appropriate training in de-escalation tactics. The bill also spells out specific reporting requirements for the MCPD and would require that it provides the Council and Executive certain data and information for the previous calendar year by February 1 of each year. Information collected would include data on officer demographics, use of force complaints, and descriptions of specific outreach/community policing initiatives.

This bill provides a more solid community policing framework for the Department to operate under. Montgomery County has changed, and we, as legislators, have a responsibility to guide our institutions to better reflect who we are as a community. I would like to thank my Council colleagues, and our partners in the law enforcement community, for their continued partnership as we strive to create a more inclusive, and responsive, county. Please let me know as soon as possible, if you would like to be a co-sponsor of this important bill.



ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

MEMORANDUM

December 13, 2019

TO: Sidney Katz, President, County Council

FROM: Richard S. Madaleno, Director, Office of Management and Budget K4M. Michael Coveyou, Acting Director, Department of Finance My

SUBJECT: FEIS for Bill 33-19, Police – Community Policing

Please find attached the Fiscal and Economic Impact Statements for the above-referenced legislation.

RSM:cm

c: Andrew Kleine, Chief Administrative Officer Fariba Kassiri, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer Caroline Sturgis, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer Debbie Spielberg, Special Assistant to the County Executive Dale Tibbitts, Special Assistant to the County Executive Lisa Austin, Office of the County Executive Barry Hudson, Director, Public Information Office Chief Marcus Jones, Department of Police Rob Hagedoorn, Department of Finance Dennis Hetman, Department of Finance David Platt, Department of Finance Monika Coble, Office of Management and Budget Trevor Lobaugh, Office of Management and Budget Chrissy Mireles, Office of Management and Budget

Fiscal Impact Statement Bill 33-19, Police - Community Policing

1. Legislative Summary

Bill 33-19 would set certain community policing guidelines and require the county's police department to provide annual reports on officer demographics, complaints and outreach initiatives. Specifically, it emphasizes the recruitment of new officers and employees from within or ties to the county, mandates an increase in police community outreach initiatives, directs the maintenance and expansion of the School Resource Officer (SRO) program, and requires adequate training in de-escalation tactics and cultural competency.

2. An estimate of changes in County revenues and expenditures regardless of whether the revenues or expenditures are assumed in the recommended or approved budget. Includes source of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

The items listed below are options the Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD) is exploring to implement the guidelines of Bill 33-19. The estimated annual cost of implementing these actions ranges from \$190,000 to \$362,800.

- 1) Provide cultural competency training to all 1,300 plus officers and certain civilian staff (dispatchers, PSAs) through internal resources, a community partnership, or a qualified outside vendor.
- Additional overtime to increase community outreach and attendance at community events. Additional overtime funds will be allocated to the Community Engagement Division (CED) and each of the six police districts.
- 3) Additional outreach funds to support activities that will attract residents to community events including giveaways, educational materials, food, rental space and entertainment. Additional outreach funds will be allocated to the Community Engagement Division (CED) and each of the six police districts.
- 4) Provide de-escalation training through a train-the-trainer course for a subset of officers within MCPD.
- 3. Revenue and expenditure estimates covering at least the next 6 fiscal years.

Annual expenditures are estimated to range from \$190,000 to \$362,800. The total cost over the next six fiscal years would range from \$1,140,000 to \$2,176,800.

4. An actuarial analysis through the entire amortization period for each bill that would affect retiree pension or group insurance costs.

Not applicable.

5. An estimate of expenditures related to County's information technology (IT) systems, including Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems.

Not applicable.

6. Later actions that may affect future revenue and expenditures if the bill authorizes future spending.

Not applicable, Bill 33-19 does not authorize future spending.

7. An estimate of the staff time needed to implement the bill.

- 1) De-escalation training: 8 hours x 1,300 officers = 10,400 hours (16 hours = 20,800) annually
 - 2) Cultural Competency training: 8 hours x 1,300 officers = 10,400 hours annually

8. An explanation of how the addition of new staff responsibilities would affect other duties.

The addition of new recurring mandatory training limits the MCPD's ability to introduce other training on topical subjects, due to the time commitments of other required training set by the Maryland Police and Corrections Training Commission (MPCTC) and with the daily operational needs of the department.

9. An estimate of costs when an additional appropriation is needed.

Estimated costs are detailed in items #2 and #3.

10. A description of any variable that could affect revenue and cost estimates.

Cost estimates will vary dependent on the implementation of the options identified in item #2. Other factors include the costs for *qualified and vetted* course instructors and materials for the de-escalation and cultural competency training.

11. Ranges of revenue or expenditures that are uncertain or difficult to project.

Not applicable.

12. If a bill is likely to have no fiscal impact, why that is the case.

Not applicable:

13. Other fiscal impacts or comments.

The Chief of Police is in the planning stages of a reorganization that will include an emphasis on Community Policing.

14. The following contributed to and concurred with this analysis:

Acting Assistant Chief Paul J. Liquorie, Patrol Services Bureau Captain Sonia Pruitt, MCPD Community Engagement Division Neil Shorb, MCPD Management and Budget Division Karla Thomas, MCPD Management and Budget Division Trevor Lobaugh, Office of Management and Budget

chard Machalur

Richard S. Madaleno, Director Office of Management and Budget

12/12/19

Economic Impact Statement Bill 33-19, Police – Community Policing

Background:

This legislation would:

- Set certain community policing guidelines;
- Require the Montgomery County Police Department to make certain reports; and
- Make certain legislative findings

1. The sources of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

The Department of Finance (Finance) did not make assumptions or used methodologies in the preparation of the economic impact statement (EIS). There were no sources of information used by Finance in the preparation of the EIS

2. A description of any variable that could affect the economic impact estimates.

Not applicable.

3. The Bill's positive or negative effect, if any on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes, and property values in the County.

Since Bill 33-19 establishes policing guidelines and requires the Montgomery County Police Department to prepare reports, the legislation has no economic impact.

4. If a Bill is likely to have no economic impact, why is that the case?

Please see paragraph 3

5. The following contributed to or concurred with this analysis: David Platt and Rob Hagedoorn, Finance.

Michael Coveyou, Aeting Director Department of Finance

_1<u>/12/19</u> Date